



Denis Rancourt <[REDACTED]>

Case No.: 2011-95023 and 2011-95024; Joseph Michel Marc Jolicoeur and Nadia Effendi

Denis Rancourt <[REDACTED]>

Tue, May 24, 2011 at 1:37 PM

To: Taryn McCormick <TMcCormi@lsuc.on.ca>

Cc: lawsociety@lsuc.on.ca

Dear Ms. McCormick,

Thank you for this information.

I hereby request that the Director, Professional Regulation Division, review and reverse your Intake decisions for the two related cases:

Case No.: 2011-95023 and 2011-95024; Joseph Michael Marc Jolicoeur and Nadia Effendi.

Please consider my request for reviews to be constituted by the present entire email exchange (including my email to you of May 23rd) and please forward the entire exchange to the Director, Professional Regulation Division, as part of the case files for review.

Please confirm.

Sincerely,
Denis Rancourt

On Tue, May 24, 2011 at 10:19 AM, Taryn McCormick <TMcCormi@lsuc.on.ca> wrote:

Dear Mr. Rancourt,

I am in receipt of your email dated May 23, 2011.

When a file is closed in the Intake Department, a complainant may request that the Director, Professional Regulation Division, review that decision. If you would like to make such a request at this time. Please advise me in writing and cite the case number(s) you wish to have reviewed. At that point, I will provide the case files to the Director who will conduct a review and will be in contact with you in due course.

Sincerely,

Taryn McCormick
Intake Counsel
The Law Society of Upper Canada
Osgoode Hall, 130 Queen Street West
Toronto, ON M5H 2N6
Telephone: 416-947-3300 ext 2611
Fax: 416-947-3382
Email: tmccormi@lsuc.on.ca

This communication is intended for use by the individual(s) to whom it is specifically addressed and should not be read by, or delivered to, any other person. Such communication may contain privileged or confidential information. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender and permanently delete the communication. Thank you for your cooperation.

La présente communication ne vise que le ou les destinataires à qui elle est adressée et ne devrait être lue par personne d'autre, ni envoyée à un autre destinataire. Ce message peut contenir des renseignements confidentiels ou de nature privilégiée. Si vous avez reçu ce message par erreur, veuillez en avvertir l'expéditeur et le détruire de façon permanente. Merci de votre collaboration.

Denis Rancourt ---05/23/2011 09:42:04 PM---Taryn McCormick Intake Counsel

From: Denis Rancourt <[REDACTED]>
To: Taryn McCormick <tmccormi@lsuc.on.ca>
Cc: lawsociety@lsuc.on.ca
Date: 05/23/2011 09:42 PM
Subject: Case No.: 2011-95023 and 2011-95024; Joseph Michel Marc Jolicoeur and Nadia Effendi

Taryn McCormick
Intake Counsel
LSUC

Re: Case 2011-95023, Joseph Michel Marc Jolicoeur

Dear Ms. McCormick,

(1) I have received your April 29, 2011, Intake decision to close the LSUC file in the above-cited matter.

(2) Although you acknowledge that “regulatory issues may have been raised”, you have chosen to not pursue an investigation at this time and to close the complaint file despite this prima facie case where Mr. Jolicoeur accepted legal work which resulted from a controversial decision which he made (for which he had ultimate responsibility) from a public office, and then repeatedly refused to answer or acknowledge all requests of clarifications in the matter.

(3) You have argued in the face of dozens of national and regional media stories about the controversial decision in question that there is not sufficient public interest to pursue this matter.

(4) The legal dispute about my dismissal is independent of Mr. Jolicoeur’s ethical breaches of my LSUC complaint and the facts regarding Mr. Jolicoeur’s ethical breaches of my complaint will not be substantively examined by another process than the one that the LSUC can provide, nor can the other processes provide appropriate corrective actions protecting society and the legal profession itself from this type of alleged legal profession misconduct. There are no parallel fact-finding exercises in the matter of my LSUC complaint.

(5) Please therefore reconsider your position and recommend that an investigation be initiated immediately. All the persons that I have made aware of your decision are of the opinion that it appears to be a pretext to avoid LSUC's responsibility in this matter, therefore harming the legal profession.

(6) If you do not change your position, please inform me how I can appeal your decision with the LSUC.

(7) Regarding public interest, please inform me how many names on a petition to LSUC would be required to show sufficient public interest for the LSUC investigation to be initiated immediately.

(8) The same holds for LSUC member Nadia Effendi (Case No. 2011-95024) who knowingly participated.

(9) Please acknowledge receipt of the present message and indicate when you will be able to respond.

Sincerely,
Denis Rancourt
